

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 Arizona Corporation Commission COMMISSIONERS DOCKETED 3 JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman SEP 21 2006 4 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MIKE GLEASON 5 **DOCKETED BY** KRISTIN K. MAYES MR **BARRY WONG** 6 7 DOCKET NO. T-20425A-05-0785 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF AMERIVON LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE 68966 DECISION NG. COMPETITIVE RESOLD LONG DISTANCE SERVICES. 10 ORDER 11 Open Meeting 12 September 19 and 20, 2006 Phoenix, Arizona 13 BY THE COMMISSION: 14 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 15 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 16 FINDINGS OF FACT 17 On October 26, 2005, AmeriVon LLC ("Applicant" or "AmeriVon") filed with the 1. 18 Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide 19 resold interexchange telecommunications services within the State of Arizona. 20 Applicant is a switchless reseller that purchases telecommunications services from a 2. 21 variety of carriers for resale to its customers. 22 3. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold 23 telecommunications providers ("resellers") are public service corporations subject to the jurisdiction 24 of the Commission. 25 Applicant has authority to transact business in the State of Arizona. 4. 26 5. On March 31, 2006, Applicant filed an Affidavit of Publication indicating compliance 27 with the Commission's notice requirements. 28

- 6. On August 8, 2006, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Staff Report which includes Staff's fair value rate base determination in this matter and recommends approval of the application subject to certain conditions. The Staff Report addressed the overall fitness of Applicant to receive a Certificate and also addressed whether its services should be classified as competitive and whether its initial rates are just and reasonable.
- 7. In its Staff Report, Staff stated that Applicant is a start-up company, funded entirely through the investments of AmeriVon's President and CEO and other private investors. AmeriVon's initial funding exceeds \$6 million in start-up capital. The Applicant provided projected income statement, balance sheet and cash flow statement that anticipates total assets of \$9 million, equity of \$7.5 million and net income of \$1 million by December 31, 2007.
- 8. Applicant's tariff indicates that it does not require deposits from its customers for services. If at some future date, Applicant wants to collect advances, deposits and/or prepayments from its resold interexchange customers, Staff recommended that the Applicant be required to file an application with the Commission for approval. The application must reference the decision in this docket and explain the Applicant's plans for procuring a performance bond.
- 9. In the event that the Applicant experiences financial difficulties, there will be minimal impact to its customers because end users can access other interexchange providers via dial around service or, in the longer term, the customer may desire to permanently switch to another provider.
- 10. Staff stated that based on information obtained from the Applicant, it has determined that Applicant's fair value rate base ("FVRB") is zero and Applicant's FVRB is too small to be useful in a fair value analysis, and is not useful in setting rates. Staff further stated that in general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate of return regulation, but are heavily influenced by the market. Staff recommended that the Commission not set rates for Applicant based on the fair value of its rate base.
- 11. Staff believes that Applicant has no market power and that the reasonableness of its rates will be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors. In light of the competitive market in which the Applicant will be providing its services, Staff believes that the rates in Applicant's proposed tariffs for its competitive services will be just and reasonable, and recommends that the

Commission approve them.

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

competitive provide pricing flexibility by allowing 12. rules Commission telecommunication service companies to price their services at or below the maximum rates contained in their tariffs as long as the pricing of those services complies with A.A.C. R14-2-1109. This requires the Applicant to file a tariff for each competitive service that states the maximum rate as well as the effective (actual) price that will be charged for the service. Any changes to the Applicant's effective (actual) price for a service must comply with A.A.C. R14-2-1109, which provides that the minimum rates for the applicant's competitive services must not be below the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of providing the services. The Applicant's maximum rates should be the maximum rates proposed by the Applicant in its most recent tariffs on file with the Commission. Future changes to the maximum rates must comply with A.A.C. R14-2-1110.

- 13. Staff recommended approval of Applicant's application subject to the following:
  - (a) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders, and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications service;
  - (b) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as required by the Commission;
  - (c) The Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the Commission may designate;
  - (d) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;
  - (e) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with the Commission's rules and modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;
  - (f) The Applicant should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations, including, but not limited to, customer complaints;
  - (g) The Applicant should be ordered to participate in and contribute to the Arizona Universal Service Fund, as required by the Commission;
  - (h) The Applicant should be ordered to notify the Commission immediately upon

28

DECISION NO. <u>68966</u>

changes to the Applicant's name, address or telephone number;

- (i) If at some future date, the Applicant wants to collect from its customers an advance, deposit, and/or prepayment, Staff recommends that the Applicant be required to file such information with the Commission for Commission approval. Such application must reference the Decision Number in this docket and must explain the Applicant's plans for procuring a performance bond;
- (j) The Applicant's interexchange service offerings should be classified as competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;
- (k) The maximum rates for these services should be the maximum rates proposed by the Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109;
- (l) In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective price to be charged for the service as well as the service's maximum rate; and
- (m) In the event the Applicant requests to discontinue and/or abandon its service area it must provide notice to both the Commission and its customers in accordance with A.A.C. R14-2-1107.
- 14. Staff further recommended that Applicant's Certificate should be conditioned upon the Applicant filing conforming tariffs with Docket Control as a compliance item in this matter in accordance with this Decision within 365 days from the date of an Order in this matter, or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes first.
- 15. Staff recommended that if the Applicant fails to meet the timeframes outlined in Finding of Fact No. 14, that Applicant's Certificate should become null and void after due process.
  - 16. Applicant will not collect advances, prepayments or deposits from customers.
  - 17. The rates proposed by this filing are for competitive services.
  - 18. Staff's recommendations as set forth herein are reasonable.
  - 19. Applicant's fair value rate base is zero.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
  - 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the

application. 1 Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law. 2 3. Applicant's provision of resold interexchange telecommunications services is in the 4. 3 4 public interest. 5 5. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate as conditioned herein for providing competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona. 6 7 6. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted. 7. Applicant's fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates 8 9 for the competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers. 10 Applicant's rates, as they appear in its proposed tariffs, are just and reasonable and 8. 11 should be approved. 12 **ORDER** 13 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of AmeriVon LLC for a Certificate of 14 Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive resold interexchange 15 telecommunications services, shall be, and hereby is, granted, conditioned upon its compliance with 16 the requirements set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 13 and 14, above. 17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff's recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 18 13 and 14 above are hereby adopted. 19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that AmeriVon LLC shall comply with the adopted Staff recommendations as set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 13 and 14 above. 20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if AmeriVon fails to meet the timeframes outlined in 21 22 Finding of Fact. No. 14 above that the Certificate conditionally granted herein shall become null and 23 void after due process. 24

> 68966 DECISION NO.

25

26

27

28

1	11 IS FURTHER ORDERED that Amerivon LLC shall not require its Arizona customers to			
2	pay advances, prepayments or deposits for any of its products or services.			
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.			
4	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.			
5	100000			
6	Ellies he Hat	tch-Melle Ulla While	QUU .	
7	CHAMINIAM		COMMISSIONER	
8		Km 15	2/1/20	
9	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	
10		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN	C. McNEIL. Executive	
11		Director of the Arizona Corporation hereunto set my hand and caused the	on Commission, have	
12		Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, this $21^{st}$ day of $5e_{cot}$ , 2006.	, in the City of Phoenix,	
13			가는 보고 있다. (그래 중요) 등록한 2011년 - 1212년 - 1212년 1	
14		BRIAN C. McNeIL		
15		EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR		
16				
17				
18	DISSENT			
19		그는 사고 있는 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들은 사람들은 작용되었다. 1905년 - 1일 역사 중요 기술을 하고 있는 것이 하는 것이 같습니다.	도 하시 : ***	
20				
21	DISSENT			
22	AB:mj			
23				
24				
25				
26		: 전문 경험 : 1975년 전문 경험 : 1975년 1일 : 1975년 1일 1975년 1월 1일 : 1975년 1		
27				
28		도마 그는 문화를 통해를 했다고 하는 것은 강의 발표를 된 보고 하는 것으로 하는 것은 사람들이 되었다. 그런 것은 작품을		
		医马克特氏试验检尿 医囊性电压器 电电流 医电影性 医多种性性 医多种类原质病		

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	AMERIVON LLC
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-20425A-05-0785
3 4 5	Jonathan S. Marashlian THE HELEIN LAW GROUP 8180 Greensboro Drive, Ste. 700 McLean, VA 22102	
6 7 8	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSI 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	ON
9	Ernest G. Johnson, Director Utilities Division	
10	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSI 1200 West Washington Street	
11	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		도 인기 발전 및 보고 보고 하는 것 같은 모든 모든 것이다. 인 것은 근로 모든 것이 살았다. 다른 것은 것 같은 것
22		
23		고 함께 보다는 것 같은 것이 하다. 그리고 있다. 그런 것이 있는 것 같은 것 같은 것이 없는 것이 없다.
24		전 중인 이번 발견된다면 함께 전 시간 2일이다. 유민들은 이번 발전 전 경제를 한 편안되는 이번
25	물람들이 되면 살이는 목록 경기를 가면 그렇게 말했다.	시 : 그들이 남자 보이는 이 하고 하는 것이라면 생물이다. 강당하는 지역자는 사람이 이를 것들이 되었다.
	### [ Handard State Sta	